

(3) (1)

Single Bench

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR, (C.G.)

W.P. No. 6422/2005

PETITIONER:

Rabi Bhushan Sharma

Aged about 46 years

S/O Shri Haribansh Sharma

Presently working as Officer at the
Rajnandgaon Branch of Dena Bank
R/O HIG-I/462, New Borsi
Extension Durg (Chhattisgarh)

P.R. No. 6471/05
Presented by Rabi Bhushan Sharma
dated 19/12/05

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS:

1. Dena Bank
(duly constituted under the Banking
Companies Acquisition Act, 1971)
Through Regional Manager Office
Rukmini Bhawan, Near Jairam
Complex, Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
2. Deputy General Manager
(Personnel)(Appellate Authority)
Dena Bank, Head Office Dena
Corporate Centre C-10, G-Block,
Bandra Kurla Complex Bandra East,
Mumbai (Maharashtra)
3. Assistant General Manager
(Disciplinary Authority)
Dena Bank, Regional Office
Raipur (Chhattisgarh)



**PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF
INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF APPROPRIATE WRITS OF MANDAMUS AND
CERTIORARI AND FOR OTHER SUITABLE WRITS AND DIRECTIONS:-**

1. PARTICULARS OF THE PETITIONER:

As stated above in the cause title.

RESPONDENTS:

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक

WP 6422/05
सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	21-12-2005 Shri Jitendra Pali, counsel for the petitioner. Application for urgent hearing is allowed. Heard. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was posted as Branch Manager in Dena Bank, Sector 10 Branch, Bhilai during the period 1/11/2000 to 20/3/2004. It is further submitted that on 6/4/2004 the petitioner was served with a charge sheet regarding the irregularities committed by him during the aforesaid period. The petitioner submitted the reply, but the disciplinary authority has imposed a penalty vide Annexure-P/6 dated 28/3/2005 whereby he has been reverted to the lower grade. Against this, the petitioner preferred an appeal as per Annexure-P/7 dated 2/5/2005 before the respondent no.2, who is the appellate authority. It is submitted that now six months have passed but the said appeal has not been decided so far. Counsel for the petitioner prays that the aforesaid appeal may be decided by respondent no.2 as early as possible. Having considered and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, in the opinion of this Court, ends of justice will be served if a direction is given to respondent no.2 to decide the appeal (Annexure-P/7) preferred by the petitioner on its own merits in accordance with law as early as possible preferably within a period of two months from the date of production of the certified copy of this order before him. If any grievance still remains, the petitioner may avail the remedy available under the law. With the aforesaid observations, this petition stands disposed of. Certified copy as per rules.	

H/-

Sd/-
Fakhruddin
Judge